G Model LAND-2033; No. of Pages 10

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Landscape and Urban Planning xxx (2011) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Landscape and Urban Planning

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/landurbplan



Exploring the diversity of urban and peri-urban agricultural systems in Sudano-Sahelian West Africa: An attempt towards a regional typology

Luc H. Dossa^a, Aisha Abdulkadir^b, Hamadoun Amadou^a, Sheick Sangare^c, Eva Schlecht^{a,*}

- a Animal Husbandry in the Tropics and Subtropics, University of Kassel and Georg-August Universität Göttingen, Steinstr. 19, 37213 Witzenhausen, Germany
- ^b Plant Production Systems Group, Wageningen University, P.O. Box 430, 6700 AK Wageningen, The Netherlands
- ^c Earth and Life Institute, Faculté d'ingénierie biologique, agronomique et environnementale, Université Catholique de Louvain, GERU Croix du Sud, 2 bte 02 à 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 5 November 2010 Received in revised form 12 April 2011 Accepted 26 April 2011 Available online xxx

Keywords: Urban land uses Gardening Animal husbandry Field crop cultivation Multivariate analysis Farm classification

ABSTRACT

Developing appropriate and innovative technologies and policies to respond to the challenges that urban and peri-urban agriculture (UPA) faces in West Africa requires a better understanding of the existing production systems. Although there is an increasing recognition of the importance of UPA in the region, its extent, forms and related practices may vary across countries and cities because of different socioeconomic conditions and urbanization patterns. A systematic classification of the regional UPA systems is lacking but is necessary to allow for meaningful comparisons between cities and avoid misleading generalizations. The purpose of this study was to develop a typology of UPA households across three selected West African cities. Survey data from 318 UPA households (Kano: 99, Bobo Dioulasso: 111, Sikasso: 108) were submitted to principal components analysis for categorical variables (CATPCA). Next, the Two-Step cluster method was used to classify the households using object scores obtained from the CATPCA. Diversification of farm activities, farm resource endowment and production orientation were the major discriminating variables. In each city, four distinct UPA systems were identified, of which three were common to Kano, Bobo Dioulasso and Sikasso: commercial gardening plus field crops and livestock (59%, 18%, and 37%), commercial livestock plus subsistence field cropping (14%, 41%, and 7%), and commercial gardening plus semi-commercial field cropping (14%, 28%, and 30%). The fourth group was different at each location and was characterized as follows: commercial gardening plus semi-commercial livestock in Kano (13%), commercial field cropping in Bobo Dioulasso (13%) and commercial gardening in Sikasso (26%).

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

1.1. Importance of urban and peri-urban agriculture in West Africa

Urban and peri-urban agriculture (UPA), which can be defined as the cultivation of crops and rearing of animals for food and other uses within and around cities (Mougeot, 2000) is not a new phenomenon in West Africa (Kironde, 1992; Rakodi, 1988) and has continued to rise as a consequence of rapid urbanization associated with high levels of under- and unemployment and increasing food demand of urban dwellers (Drechsel & Dongus, 2010). There is a wealth of literature that describes the social roles of UPA, its economic functions and its potentials to sustain the livelihoods of

0169-2046/\$ – see front matter © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2011.04.005

urban dwellers in West African countries, along with its environmental benefits (Asomani-Boateng, 2002; Cissé, Gueye, & Sy, 2005; Danso, Drechsel, Wiafe-Antwi, & Gyiele, 2002; De Bon, Parrot, & Moustier, 2010; Graefe, Schlecht, & Buerkert, 2008; Smit, Ratta, & Nasr, 1996). On the other hand, a number of UPA practices raised concerns about associated negative side-effects on human health and environmental quality. The potential risks ensuing directly or indirectly from UPA are linked to inappropriate use of agrochemicals in plant and animal production, over-application of mineral fertilizers, use of untreated livestock and human excreta as well as household wastes as manure, use of untreated wastewater for irrigation of vegetables, and inappropriate or inadequate food handling, processing and storage (Amoah, Drechsel, Abaidoo, & Ntow, 2006; Binns, Maconachie, & Tanko, 2003; Brock & Foeken, 2006). Within the large number of available scientific and 'grey' publications on UPA crop and animal production in West Africa, each study presents a particular set of data for a well-defined production context and for specifically defined research questions. These cover practically all aspects of UPA, such as appropriate dosing of mineral fertilizers (Drechsel & Zimmermann, 2005), targeted

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 05542 98 1201; fax: +49 05542 98 1230. E-mail addresses: dossa@uni-kassel.de (L.H. Dossa), akadir762001@yahoo.com (A. Abdulkadir), hamadoun@uni-kassel.de (H. Amadou), sheick_khalil@yahoo.fr (S. Sangare), tropanimals@uni-kassel.de (E. Schlecht).